



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAR 18 2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,074	07/30/2001	Jurgen Beil	534P008	2565
7590	03/13/2002			
Kevin S. Lemack Nields & Lemack Suite 8 176 E. Main Street Westboro, MA 01581		RECORDED IN SEARCHED <i>10-13-02</i> INDEXED <i>10-13-02</i> FILED <i>10-13-02</i>	EXAMINER HAYES, BRET C	
			ART UNIT 3644	PAPER NUMBER
DATE MAILED: 03/13/2002				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/918,074	BEIL, JURGEN	
	Examiner Bret C Hayes	Art Unit 3644	
<i>-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --</i>			
Period for Reply			
<p>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</p> <p>Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office after the maximum period for reply will be subject to a three month extension of time under 37 CFR 1.704(b).</p>			
Status			
<p>1)<input type="checkbox"/> Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.</p> <p>2a)<input type="checkbox"/> This action is FINAL. 2b)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This action is non-final.</p> <p>3)<input type="checkbox"/> Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</p>			
Disposition of Claims			
<p>4)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.</p> <p>4a) Of the above claim(s) <u> </u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.</p> <p>5)<input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u> </u> is/are allowed.</p> <p>6)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.</p> <p>7)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>8,11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.</p> <p>8)<input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u> </u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</p>			
Application Papers			
<p>9)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</p> <p>10)<input type="checkbox"/> The drawing(s) filed on <u> </u> is/are: a)<input type="checkbox"/> accepted or b)<input type="checkbox"/> objected to by the Examiner.</p> <p>Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).</p>			
<p>11)<input type="checkbox"/> The proposed drawing correction filed on <u> </u> is: a)<input type="checkbox"/> approved b)<input type="checkbox"/> disapproved by the Examiner.</p> <p>If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.</p>			
<p>12)<input type="checkbox"/> The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</p>			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
<p>13)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</p> <p>a)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All b)<input type="checkbox"/> Some * c)<input type="checkbox"/> None of:</p> <p>1.<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</p> <p>2.<input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u> </u>.</p> <p>3.<input type="checkbox"/> Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</p> <p>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</p>			
<p>14)<input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</p> <p>a)<input type="checkbox"/> The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</p>			
<p>15)<input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</p>			
Attachment(s)			
<p>1)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</p> <p>2)<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</p> <p>3)<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u> </u></p>		<p>4)<input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) <u> </u></p> <p>5)<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)</p> <p>6)<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p>	

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 8, lines 3 and 4, "cheese aromt." and "cheese, aroma" is unclear whether applicant is disclosing 'cheese' as a 'suitable aromatic and/or enticing substance'; page 10, line 7, it is unclear how the applicant's invention avoids "undesired eutrophication", eutrophication being defined as: the process by which a body of water becomes enriched in dissolved nutrients (as phosphates) that stimulate the growth of aquatic plant life usually resulting in the depletion of dissolved oxygen.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 8, 11 and 12 objected to because of the following informalities: claim 8, line 3, "substantitally" should be --substantially--; claims 11 and 12, line 1, "claims" should be --claim--
Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3644

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1 – 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

6. Regarding claims 1 and 14, the recitation, “a material based on porous, thermoplastic plastic” appears to be redundant and so failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter. Examiner suggests --a porous, thermoplastic-- as an alternative.

7. Regarding claims 2 – 13 and 15 – 17; any claim depending upon a claim rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention are also rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in–
 - (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
 - (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

9. Claims 1 – 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,887,376 to Sibley et al. Sibley et al. disclose polymers that may be any that are commercially available or known that have the ability to selectively absorb a lure and then release it controllably into water.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent Nos. 5,333,405 to Bowles, 5,276,993 to Rosenblatt (Rosenblatt I), 5,193,299 to Correll et al., 5,170,580 to Rosenblatt (Rosenblatt II), 4,947,578 to Anderson et al., 3,351,495 to Larsen et al.

11. Bowles discloses soft plastic fishing lures containing microencapsulated liquid fish attractant. Rosenblatt I discloses a sponge lure, Correll et al. disclose a realistic swimming fish lure, Rosenblatt II disclose a sponge lure, Anderson et al. disclose a controlled system for insect attractant. Larsen et al. disclose a battery separator.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bret Hayes at telephone number (703) 306-0553. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday and alternating Fridays from 7:00 am to 4:30 pm, Eastern Standard Time.

13. If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Jordan, can be reached at (703) 306-4159. The fax number for this group is (703) 305-7687.

bh

03/01/02